

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9
10 TIMOTHY M. CRAWLEY, *as Personal*
11 *Representative of the Estate of Gregory A.*
12 *Buck, deceased,*

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
16 CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-00388-JLT-SAB

ORDER RE SECOND STIPULATION TO
EXTEND DEFENDANTS TIME TO FILE A
RESPONSIVE PLEADING

(ECF No. 9)

17 Before the Court is the parties' second stipulation to extend the time in which Defendants
18 may answer the complaint. For good cause shown, the Court hereby approves the stipulation for
19 a two-week extension and ORDERS that Defendants shall have through June 26, 2025, to answer
20 or otherwise respond to the complaint.¹

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 Dated: June 4, 2025



24 STANLEY A. BOONE
25 United States Magistrate Judge
26

27 ¹ In their proposed order, the parties included a condition that if Plaintiff files a first amended complaint before June
28 26, 2025, then Defendants shall have 30 days from that filing date in which to file an answer. The Court denies this
request without prejudice because it was not part of the parties' stipulation and because it is speculative at this point.